

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,287	10/27/2003		Reiner Rygiel	21295.65 (H5680US)	2780
29127	7590	06/27/2005		EXAMINER	
HOUSTON			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L		
4 MILITIA LEXINGTO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2872	
				DATE MAILED: 06/27/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>		<u>n</u>
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/694,287	RYGIEL, REINER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joshua L. Pritchett	2872	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communica  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	May 2005		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal mat	· ·	s is
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-19 is/are withdrest</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 October 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the last or the last of the last or the last	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ one drawing(s) be held in abeya Bection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-03</u>.</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/694,287 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

**DETAILED ACTION** 

This action is in response to Election filed May 6, 2005. Applicant elected claims 1-13

with traverse.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 14-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as

being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 6,

2005.

Applicant's election with traverse of restriction in the reply filed on May 6, 2005 is

acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the two inventions are not independent of

each other. This is not found persuasive because claim 1 states, "the medium filled in the

cavity," meaning that the cavity is filled in some manner and claim 14 states, the sample is

located in a cavity," meaning that the cavity is empty of all material other than air and the

sample.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

**Drawings** 

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for

Application/Control Number: 10/694,287

Art Unit: 2872

examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

The drawings are objected to because the box elements in figure 1 need to be labeled in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.83 (a) as stated infra. Correction is required.

Specifically, 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) states that in a nonprovisional application must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. However, conventional features disclosed in the description and claims, where their detailed illustration is not essential for a proper understanding of the invention, should be illustrated in the drawing in the form of a graphical drawing symbol or a labeled representation (e.g., a labeled rectangular box) (emphasis added).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bewersdorf (US 2002/0105722) in view of Eastman (US 6,411,434).

Regarding claim 1, Bewersdorf teaches a confocal microscope with a sample carrier (Fig. 4) comprising a first coverslip (22) and a second coverslip (22) wherein the second coverslip carries a mirror (25) and the mirror surrounds the sample region (para. 0055; Fig. 4).

Art Unit: 2872

Bewersdorf lacks reference to a cavity or a frame. Eastman teaches the use of a frame (12 and 14) to hold a first (26) and second (16) coverslip with a cavity between them (Fig. 6). Eastman further teaches a medium filled in the cavity (col. 7 lines 32-37). Although Eastman is silent as to the medium having approximately the same refractive index of the first and second coverslips, the refractive index of the Eastman medium must approximately match the refractive indices of the first and second coverslips because a significant difference between the refractive indices would cause reflection of light at the interface of the medium and the coverslip. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Bewersdorf invention include the cavity and frame of the Eastman invention for the purpose of holding the sample in place while protecting the sample from being damaged.

Regarding claim 2, Bewersdorf teaches the substrate of the coverslips being anisotropic or isotropic materials that are transparent to the wavelengths used (Fig. 4). The coverslips must inherently be either anisotropic or isotropic.

Regarding claim 4, Bewersdorf teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to the distance between the coverslips. The Eastman reference teaches the use of a sample as thin as possible (col. 2 lines 25-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Bewersdorf cavity be less than 50 microns as suggested by the Eastman reference for the purpose of transillumination of the specimen for without significant loss of incident light.

Regarding claim 5, Bewersdorf teaches the mirror on the second coverslip reflective for light in a wavelength range of 300-1300 nm (para. 0021).

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claim 7, Bewersdorf teaches the mirror is made of a dielectric coating (para. 0019).

Regarding claims 8 and 10, Bewersdorf teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to a circular sample region. Eastman teaches the use of a circular sample region (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Bewersdorf invention include the circular sample region of Eastman for the purpose of allowing ample light to pass through the viewing area to achieve a high contrast image of the sample.

Regarding claim 9, Bewersdorf teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to an adhesive. Eastman teaches the use of an adhesive to secure portions of the coverslip together (col. 6 lines 40-50). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Bewersdorf invention include the adhesive of Eastman for the purpose of permanently securing the coverslips to the frame.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Bewersdorf teaches the covperslips are in the shape of a square (Fig. 4), which is a polygon with identical side lengths and a rectangle.

Regarding claim 13, Bewersdorf teaches the microscope is an interferometric fluorescence microscope (abstract).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bewersdorf (US 2002/0105722) in view of Eastman (US 6,411,434) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Binnings (US 3,620,596).

Application/Control Number: 10/694,287

Art Unit: 2872

Bewersdorf teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to the use of quartz and glycerol. Eastman teaches the use of quartz as a means to create the coverslips (col. 6 lines 43-45). Binnings teaches the use of glycerol as a means to fill the cavity of a microscope slide (col. 3 lines 30-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Bewersdorf invention include the quartz coverslips of Eastman for the purpose of efficiently transmitting light through the coverslips. It would further have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Bewersdorf invention include the glycerol cavity filling medium of Binnings for the purpose of protecting the sample and allowing light to transmit through the cavity.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bewersdorf (US 2002/0105722) in view of Eastman (US 6,411,434) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Aagard (US 3,720,924).

Bewersdorf teaches the invention as claimed including the use of a metal mirror (para. 0019) but lacks reference to the use of aluminum in the mirror. Aagard teaches the use of aluminum to create a mirror in a microscope (col. 9 lines 60-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Bewersdorf mirror made of aluminum as taught by Aagard for the purpose of reflecting light in the visible wavelength range.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/694,287 Page 7

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L. Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JLP 🎢

DREW A. DUNN

BLIDERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER